

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/741,564 12/18/2000		John M. Hibscher	03405.018001	6450	
25541	7590 04/22/2005		EXAMINER		
NEAL, GERBER, & EISENBERG SUITE 2200			HOTALING, JOHN M		
2 NORTH LASALLE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60602			3713		

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	'n
>	ŗy
	1'

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/741,564	HIBSCHER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John M Hotaling II	3713		

	John M Hotaling II	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the control of	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(.,	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Abeles and the detection of Cities and	1-41 <b>- 6</b>	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. 🔲 The request for reconsideration has been considered bเ	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
<del></del>	<i></i>		
		MARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The insertion of "interactive" into the claims is does not overcome the final rejection. The final rejection addressed the playing of a game. The act of playing the game that is stored in a computer program is an interactive game..